1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 LARRY ALEXANDER, 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-03-1014 LKK KJM P 12 VS. 13 LIEUTENANT PLAINER, et al., Defendants. 14 ORDER 15 16 This matter was on calendar on January 14, 2008 for trial confirmation hearing. 17 Plaintiff appeared in pro per via videoconferencing; Anthony O'Brien, Deputy Attorney General, 18 appeared for defendants Aguilera, Cook, Iannone, Nichols, Plainer, and Stiles. 19 After hearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 20 1. Trial is confirmed for April 15, 2008 at 10:30 a.m. before the Honorable 21 Lawrence K. Karlton in Courtroom Four, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California; 22 2. Defendants may present the testimony of MTA Winters and Dr. Rohlfing by 23 affidavit; 24 3. Within ten days of the date of this order, plaintiff may file a motion to 25 reconsider the magistrate judge's denial of plaintiff's motion to inspect the officer defendants' 26

## Case 2:03-cv-01014-LKK-KJM Document 134 Filed 01/15/08 Page 2 of 2

files for any past complaints of excessive force or false statements. Defendants' opposition to the motion to reconsider, if any, is due ten days after the filing of the motion. DATED: January 14, 2008. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT <sup>1</sup> In California criminal practice, this is called a "Pitchess motion." <u>Pitchess v. Superior</u> Court, 11 Cal.3d 531 (1974); see Cal. Evid. Code §§ 1043-1045; Cal. Penal Code §§ 832.7 & 832.8.